

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

	APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	1	1	FIRST NAME	D INVENTOR		ATTO	RNEY DOCKET N	o. C	ONFIRMATION NO.
	10/697,713	10)/30/2003			Takashi Sa	asabayashi			1324.68597		8354
	24978	7590	05/20/2004		÷				e.	EX	KAMINER	77 ***********************************
٠.	GREER, BU 300 S WACK		CRAIN					, ,		TON, I	MÍNH TO	AN T
	25TH FLOO					-)		**		ART UNIT	1 3	PAPER NUMBER
	CHICAGO,	-		*					: -	2871	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)										
	10/697,713	SASABAYASHI, TAKASHI										
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit										
	Toan Ton	2871										
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).										
Status		•										
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> , ·											
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.											
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is											
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C _. D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.										
Disposition of Claims		*										
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.												
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra												
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.												
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.		• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		×-										
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•										
Application Papers	A.											
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.											
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.												
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a)										
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct												
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	96 Sec. 1	-										
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).										
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received											
Certified copies of the priority document	•	on No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior												
application from the International Burea		a un açus constant conge										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , ,	ed.										
Attachment(s)		•										
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary											
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate, atent Application (PTO-152)										
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	TP										

Application/Control Number: 10/697,713

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejection's - 35 USC § 102

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an interest is all application filed and the tent of filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an interest is all application filed and the tent of filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an interest is all application filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an interest is a state of the patent of the pat

international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United

States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Yoo et

al(US 6587173).

Yoo discloses a liquid crystal display device comprising [see at least Figure 1]: a first

substrate 15 and second substrate 11 facing each other maintaining a predetermined cell gap; a

liquid crystal layer 10 sandwiched between the first and the second substrates; a first (pixel)

electrode 18 formed on the first substrate on the side that faces the liquid crystal layer; a second

(common) electrode 14 formed on the second substrate; slits formed in the first electrode and the

second electrode.

Yoo discloses the angle (between the slit edge and the surface of the adjacent substrate

edge) of the slit is 90° [col. 3, lines 40-42].

Yoo discloses the device employing a vertical alignment (col. 3, lines 44-49).

Yoo discloses a voltage application applied to the device (col. 3, lines 49-55).

It is noted that both (Yoo and the claimed invention) disclose the same structural device.

Application/Control Number: 10/697,713

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 3

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 4, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoo as applied to claims 1-3 and 5 above.

Rectangular pixel electrodes are known and common in the art. You discloses slits formed in the pixel and the common electrodes.

The use of a polarizer (commonly disposed adjacent to each substrate) is common and known in the art for achieving advantages such as high contrast. Therefore, it would have been at least obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a polarizer disposed adjacent to each substrate for achieving advantages such as high contrast. To achieve high transmissivity, the polarization axes are at angles of 0° and 90° (see Yoo, col. 7, lines 59-61)

The use of a compensating plate such as a quarter-wave plate is common and known in the art for achieving advantages such as wide viewing angle. Therefore, it would have been at least obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a quarter-wave plate disposed between each substrate and each polarizer for achieving advantages such as wide viewing angle.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2871

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Contact Information

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2303.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 10, 2004

FOANTON PRIMARY EXAMINER